

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3
4 David Burns,

5 Plaintiff

6 v.

7 M.D. Hanf, et al.,

8 Defendants
9

Case No.: 2:19-cv-722-JAD-NJK

**Order Adopting Report & Recommendation
and Denying Motion for Preliminary
Injunction**

[ECF Nos. 35, 36, 43]

10
11 On November 5, 2021, the magistrate judge recommended¹ that I deny Plaintiff David
12 Burns's motion for a preliminary injunction, in which he asks for a court order requiring the
13 Northern Nevada Correctional Center to allow him one hour of in-person computer research time
14 each day in the law library and up to 20 case checkouts each day.² The magistrate judge
15 reasoned that Burns has no pending access-to-the-courts claim, so such an order would have no
16 nexus to Burns's pending claims, and the court would exceed its jurisdiction to grant the
17 requested relief.³ The deadline for any party to object to that recommendation was November
18 19, 2021, and no party filed anything. "[N]o review is required of a magistrate judge's report
19 and recommendation unless objections are filed."⁴ Having reviewed the report and
20 recommendation, I find good cause to adopt it, and I do.
21


22
23 ¹ ECF No. 43.

24 ² ECF No. 35

25 ³ ECF No. 43 at 3.

26 ⁴ *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474
27 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and
2 Recommendation [ECF No. 43] is **ADOPTED** in its entirety. Burns's motion for a preliminary
3 injunction [ECF No. 35] is **DENIED**.

4 
5 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
6 Dated: November 24, 2021
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28